



### INTRODUCTION

The Mediterranean southern Europe is considered to be one of the climate change “hotspots” as more severe droughts are occurring (which increases the risks of forest fires, biodiversity loss, decline of crop yields, etc.); future changes in climate are there commonly reflected by drier conditions and changes in the frequency, duration, and/or magnitude of extreme events [1] [2] [3]. In order to minimise the risks of climate change, it is crucial to perform adaptation actions. The data provided by the climate models, however, implies certain limitations upon the spatial scale as it is generally coarser than required by impact studies. This factsheet provides tailored findings to the local climatic conditions of “Lampedusa”. This information can help landowners consider what aspects of the property might be affected and take proper measures.

The climate of “Lampedusa” is classified as Csa under the Koppen’s climate classification, which represents a warm temperate climate; the summers are hot and dry [4].

The intercepted radiation is a determining factor in crop development. Southern parts of Portugal, Spain, and Italy have the highest insolation in Europe, which can reach 2200 kWh/m<sup>2</sup> per year [5] [6]. Note, however, that the topographic parameters, such as the slope and altitude, provides an irregular distribution of the global solar radiation over the property (see figures 1, 2, 3 and 4), which can be relevant for the land-use planning.

### TEMPERATURE

The mean temperature for the year in the property is around 17°C, ranging between 12 and 23°C, in Jan/Feb and in August, respectively.

Over the last decades, the annual mean temperature followed an increasing trend; for example, Toreti and Desiato (2008), which used records scattered over Italy, stated a significant rise of 0.96°C between 1961 and 2004 [7].

In the future, the projections

obtained from climate models suggest that the increase will continue over the century. Compared to the reference period (1976-2005), the projected anomalies of the annual mean temperature range between +0.7 and +1.0°C until 2075, depending on the scenario and time period considered (see table in the next page).

Regarding the monthly distribution (figure 5), August will remain the hottest month, with a maximum temperature up to 26°C; on the other hand, it is expected that Jan/Feb remain the coldest months (lowest minimum temperature of ~11°C).




Figure 5 - Monthly temperatures: minimum, and maximum. Projections under scenarios RCP4.5 and 8.5 for short- and long-term.

Heat stress damage can be particularly costly when high temperatures occur during critical crop stages; increased attention has been paid to the analysis of extreme events; several studies, which consider historical trends and/or future projections, showed an increase in extreme high temperatures in Italy [8] [9]. At the scale of the property, there are indeed identifiable trends, a sharp rise of the number of summer days (Tmax>25°C), twice the actual value, is expected.

### LOCATION



Figure 1 - Location of “Lampedusa”.



Figure 2 - Orthophoto map.




Figure 3 - Orographic map.




Figure 4 - Map of global annual mean solar radiation.

## CLIMATE PROJECTIONS

Climate variables	Historical (1976-2005)	Scenarios	Time slice	
			2016-2045	2046-2075
Temperature (°C)	16.6	RCP4.5	+0.7	+0.8
		RCP8.5	+0.9	+1.0
Maximum temperature (°C)	17.8	RCP4.5	+0.7	+1.2
		RCP8.5	+0.9	+1.9
Minimum temperature (°C)	15.3	RCP4.5	+0.8	+1.3
		RCP8.5	+0.9	+2.0
Number of summer days (Tmax. >= 25°C)	49	RCP4.5	+23	+28
		RCP8.5	+36	+51
Ref. Evapotranspiration (mm/day)	3.7	RCP4.5	+0.1	+0.2
		RCP8.5	+0.1	+0.3
Total precipitation (mm)	352	RCP4.5	-15	-19
		RCP8.5	-17	-19
Number of wet days (Pr > 1mm)	56	RCP4.5	-3	-4
		RCP8.5	-3	-7
Relative humidity (%)	71	RCP4.5	-0.2	0.0
		RCP8.5	-0.4	-0.3
Aridity index	0.29	RCP4.5	-0.02	-0.03
		RCP8.5	-0.03	-0.04

Table - Annual mean anomalies calculated relative to 1976–2005, for 30-year periods, short-term (2016-2045) and long-term (2046-2075), under scenarios RCP4.5 and 8.5.

**Climate Projection** | Simulated response of the climate system to a scenario of future emission or concentration of greenhouse gases and aerosols, generally derived using climate models [1].

**Climate Scenario** | A plausible and often simplified representation of the future climate, based on an internally consistent set of climatological relationships that has been constructed for explicit use in investigating the potential consequences of anthropogenic climate change [1].

Here, two Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs) (which are scenarios that include time series of

emissions and concentrations of the full suite of greenhouse gases and aerosols and chemically active gases, as well as land use) were selected: RCP4.5 - intermediate stabilization pathways in which radiative forcing is stabilized at approximately 4.5 W/m<sup>2</sup>; RCP8.5 - one high pathway for which radiative forcing reaches greater than 8.5 W/m<sup>2</sup> by 2100 and continues to rise for some amount of time [10].

**Anomalies** | Difference of a future climate (e.g. 2046-2075) compared to the reference period, which in this case, is 1976-2005.

**Reference Evapotranspiration** | estimates the evapotranspiration rate of a short green crop (grass), totally

shading the ground, which has a uniform height, and with adequate water status in the soil profile. The formulation used was the FAO-56 Penman-Monteith, which is a function of wind speed, solar radiation, relative humidity, and temperature [11][12].

**Aridity Index** | a proxy measure of water availability. Following the UNEP (1992), the aridity index is defined as the ratio of precipitation to potential evapotranspiration on a yearly basis [13]; the latter is here considered equivalent to the reference evapotranspiration.

Climate is classified in hyper-arid (<0.03), arid (0.03-0.2), semi-arid (0.2-0.5), dry sub-humid (0.5-0.65), and humid (>0.65).

### PRECIPITATION

The annual precipitation amount in the property is about 350 mm, with a marked minimum in summer (~10 mm per month), and 80% of the rainfall falling between September and March (30-55 mm per month).

Over the last decades, a slow decrease of annual mean precipitation was registered around the country [14] [15]. However, small changes in mean precipitation can mask results in a relatively high increase in the probability of precipitation extremes [16] [17] [18], in particular during autumn and winter [19].

In the future, the climate models also estimate a decreasing tendency of the annual precipitation. The reduction can reach roughly 20 mm (~5%), and less 7 wet days per year.

Regarding the monthly averages, positive trends alternate with negatives depending on the scenario and time periods assumed (figure 6). However, in general, negative anomalies are expected especially for spring, while less marked positive anomalies are projected for summer. These changes in the seasonal precipitation distribution tend to amplify the impacts on the water availability, requiring measures, e.g. anticipating the sowing of spring crops.

Drier conditions (lower values of Aridity index) are expected until the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century in southern Europe [20] [21]. According to the long-term mean value of the aridity index, the property will remain semi-arid (0.2-0.5), even though the drier conditions tend to be slowly intensified.




Figure 6 - Monthly mean precipitation for the historical period; Anomalies for short- and long-term, under scenarios RCP4.5 and 8.5.

The factsheet provides a summary of projected possible changes in the climate of “Lampedusa”. The projections cover the period from 2016 to 2075, showing, however, 30-year averages, so that the climate change signal is identified, and not the natural climate variability. Anomalies are calculated with respect to the reference period from 1976 to 2005.

The information is based on currently available Regional Climate Models (RCMs) (specifically, CLMcom-CCLM4-8-17, CNRM-ALADIN53, SMHI-RCA4, DMI-HIRHAM5, KNMI-RACMO22E, IPSL-INERIS-WRF331F, MPI-CSC-REMO2009), which were used in the AR5 (Fifth Assessment Report) of the IPCC. These RCMs were forced by different Global Climate Models (GCMs) (namely, CNRM-CERFACS-CNRM-CM5, ICHEC-EC-EARTH, IPSL-IPSL-CM5A-MR, MPI-M-MPI-ESM-LR, NCC-NorESM1-M). The projected changes were therefore accomplished using the output of the simulations of a large ensemble (twelve RCM-GCM combinations) and thus, involving a variety of institutions, parameters, and climate sensitivities. The simulations have a spatial resolution of 0.11° x 0.11° (~12.5 km). For more information about the climate models, please visit <http://www.cordex.org>.

For the purposes of this study, each RCM and RCP scenario is considered to be equally likely as there is no clear way to assess their performance in a climate that has not yet happened.

The map of global annual mean solar radiation was created based on the solar radiation analysis tools in the ArcGIS Spatial Analyst extension - software ArcGIS 10.

### REFERENCES

- [1] IPCC, “Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.” Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, 2013.
- [2] IPCC, “Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.” Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, 2014.
- [3] N. S. Diffenbaugh and F. Giorgi, “Climate change hotspots in the CMIP5 global climate model ensemble,” *Clim. Change*, vol. 114, pp. 813–822, 2012.
- [4] F. Rubel and M. Kottek, “Observed and projected climate shifts 1901–2100 depicted by world maps of the Köppen-Geiger climate classification,” *Meteorol. Zeitschrift*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 135–141, 2010.
- [5] C. Perpiña Castillo, F. Batista e Silva, and C. Lavalle, “An assessment of the regional potential for solar power generation in EU-28,” *Energy Policy*, vol. 88, pp. 86–99, 2016.
- [6] S. Rodrigues, M. B. Coelho, and P. Cabral, “Suitability Analysis of Solar Photovoltaic farms: A Portuguese Case Study,” *Int. J. Renew. Energy Res.*, vol. 7, pp. 243–254, 2017.
- [7] A. Toreti and F. Desiato, “Temperature trend over Italy from 1961 to 2004,” *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, vol. 91, pp. 51–58, 2008.
- [8] C. Simolo, M. Brunetti, M. Maugeri, T. Nanni, and A. Speranza, “Understanding climate change-induced variations in daily temperature distributions over Italy,” *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, vol. 115, p. D22110, 2010.
- [9] M. Beniston, D. B. Stephenson, O. B. Christensen, C. A. T. Ferro, C. Frei, S. Goyette, K. Halsnaes, T. Holt, K. Jylhä, B. Koffi, J. Palutikof, R. Schöll, T. Semmler, K. Woth, “Future extreme events in European climate: an exploration of regional climate model projections,” *Clim. Change*, vol. 81, pp. 71–95, 2007.
- [10] R. Moss, M. Babiker, S. Brinkman, E. Calvo, T. Carter, J. Edmonds, I. Elgizouli, S. Emori, L. Erda, K. Hibbard, R. Jones, M. Kainuma, J. Kelleher, J. F. Lamarque, M. Manning, B. Matthews, J. Meehl, L. Meyer, J. Mitchell, N. Nakicenovic, B. O’Neill, R. Pichs, K. Riahi, S. Rose, P. Runci, R. Stouffer, D. van Vuuren, J. Weyant, T. Wilbanks, J. P. van Ypersele, and M. Zurek, “Towards New Scenarios for Analysis of Emissions, Climate Change, Impacts and Response Strategies,” Technical Summary. IPCC, Geneva, p. 25, 2008.
- [11] R. G. Allen, L. S. Pereira, D. Raes, and M. Smith, “Crop evapotranspiration-Guidelines for computing crop water requirements-FAO Irrigation and drainage.” FAO - Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, 1998.
- [12] D. Guo, S. Westra, and H. R. Maier, “An R package for modelling actual, potential and reference evapotranspiration,” *Environ. Model. Softw.*, vol. 78, pp. 216–224, 2016.
- [13] UNEP, “World Atlas of Desertification.” Edward Arnold, p. 69, 1992.
- [14] M. Brunetti, M. Maugeri, F. Monti, and T. Nanni, “Temperature and precipitation variability in Italy in the last two centuries from homogenised instrumental time series,” *Int. J. Climatol.*, vol. 26, pp. 345–381, 2006.
- [15] M. Brunetti, T. Caloiero, R. Coscarelli, G. Gullà, T. Nanni, and C. Simolo, “Precipitation variability and change in the Calabria region (Italy) from a high resolution daily dataset,” *Int. J. Climatol.*, vol. 32, pp. 57–73, 2012.
- [16] A. L. Zollo, V. Rillo, E. Bucchignani, M. Montesarchio, and P. Mercogliano, “Extreme temperature and precipitation events over Italy: Assessment of high-resolution simulations with COSMO-CLM and future scenarios,” *Int. J. Climatol.*, vol. 36, pp. 987–1004, 2016.
- [17] E. Coppola and F. Giorgi, “An assessment of temperature and precipitation change projections over Italy from recent global and regional climate model simulations,” *Int. J. Climatol.*, vol. 30, pp. 11–32, 2010.
- [18] G. Nikulin, E. Kjellström, U. Hansson, G. Strandberg, and A. Ullerstig, “Evaluation and future projections of temperature, precipitation and wind extremes over Europe in an ensemble of regional climate simulations,” *Tellus A Dyn. Meteorol. Oceanogr.*, vol. 63, pp. 41–55, 2011.
- [19] T. Colombo, V. Pelino, S. Vergari, P. Cristofanelli, and P. Bonasoni, “Study of temperature and precipitation variations in Italy based on surface instrumental observations,” *Glob. Planet. Change*, vol. 57, pp. 308–318, 2007.
- [20] J. Huang, H. Yu, X. Guan, G. Wang, and R. Guo, “Accelerated dryland expansion under climate change,” *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 166–171, 2016.
- [21] A. Dai, “Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models,” *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 52–58, 2013.